

Abel Pacheco,

At its best, this legislation means approximately 4,400 new homes will be built over 10 years--the first number sounds big but it's actually not a huge dent in the need for more housing. Certainly, however, it's far better than nothing. Adding amendments means adding restrictions, obstacles, and disincentives for homebuilders.

I work at Sightline Institute as their communications associate, and our research shows how adding onerous requirements translates to less units. When it comes to ADUs, the majority of builders will be homeowners--not some "greedy" developer.

Building ADUs is good for our society in its own right and we should be extremely careful when making it more difficult to build ADUs. Please do not pass amendments that would:

- Prohibit short-term rental use in ADUs
- Require one-year ownership of the property before building a second ADU
- Require one parking space for a second ADU

In our dual climate and housing crises, additional requirements and restrictions mean less housing and fewer people who could live low-carbon lifestyles in our city. The future of affordable housing is already difficult to construct, please don't make it worse.

Kelsey Hamlin
kelseyh@sightline.org
[address]